UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

THE SCOTTS COMPANY, LLC, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action 2:24-cv-4199 Judge Douglas R. Cole Magistrate Judge Chelsey M. Vascura

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY,

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Procter & Gamble Company's Motion to Seal Portions of Plaintiffs' Reply Submissions Filed in Support of their Motion for Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 52). Therein, P&G seek leave to place Plaintiff, The Scotts Company's already-filed Reply in Support of its Motion for a Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 46), Exhibits B, C, D, E, and O attached thereto (ECF Nos. 46-2¹, 46-3, 46-4, 46-5, 46-15) and Corrected Reply Brief (ECF No. 49) under seal. P&G asserts that the reply briefs and exhibits contain "confidential information relating to market and consumer research it conducted, its marketing and advertising plans, its business strategy and processes, financial information relating to the same, and the deposition testimony of Maris Croswell relating to the same." (ECF No. 52 at 2.)

Based on these representations, the undersigned concludes that the reply briefs and exhibits contain sensitive and confidential information that should be shielded from public

¹ Scotts filed Maris Croswell's entire deposition transcript as Exhibit B to its Reply. (ECF No. 46-2). After a conference with the Court on April 3, Scotts intends to refile only relevant portions of the transcript.

access. Accordingly, P&G's Motion (ECF No. 52) is **GRANTED**. Scotts has already filed both

reply briefs, as well as all exhibits to those briefs, under seal. (See ECF Nos. 46, 49.) However,

Scotts may file the forthcoming relevant portions of Ms. Croswell's deposition transcript under

seal as well.

The Court is mindful that sealing of documents should be narrowly tailored and no

broader than necessary. See Shane Group, Inc., 825 F.3d at 305. Accordingly, P&G shall,

WITHIN SEVEN DAYS, file a redacted version of the reply briefs and exhibits B, C, D, E, and

O on the public docket, redacting only that information constituting the confidential information

as described in P&G's Motion (ECF No. 52).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Chelsey M. Vascura

CHELSEY M. VASCURA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2